Research Article

Integrated Trajectory Planning and Tracking Control for Autonomous Vehicles under Uncertain Environments

Dubois Martin [1,*]
Delft University of Technology
* Corresponding author: Dubois Martin
DuboisMartin@gmail.com

Abstract: Traditional autonomous vehicle architectures exhibit a distinct functional disconnect between trajectory planning and tracking control. While this hierarchical design offers advantages in terms of computational efficiency, it often leads to a severe compromise in vehicle dynamic feasibility when confronted with complex environments characterized by stochasticity and high-frequency disturbances. This paper explores a coupled control framework designed to integrate these two typically isolated layers into a unified optimization manifold, specifically addressing systemic uncertainties such as fluctuations in road adhesion coefficients and unmodeled vehicle sideslip dynamics. The study investigates the potential of this unified control approach to maintain vehicle stability while simultaneously satisfying rigorous safety boundaries. The results indicate that, although this coupled method enhances tracking accuracy to some extent in moderately uncertain environments, its overall efficacy remains fundamentally contingent upon the precision of the underlying disturbance observer.

Keywords: *Integrated Control; Model Predictive Control; Stochastic Uncertainty; Trajectory Feasibility; Autonomous Vehicles;*

1. Introduction

The evolution of autonomous vehicle technologies represents a pivotal juncture in the intersection of robotic perception, decision-making, and low-level control, yet the realization of seamless autonomy is frequently hindered by the pervasive nature of environmental and systemic uncertainties.[25] In contemporary AV architectures, a rigid hierarchical separation persists between high-level trajectory planning and low-level tracking control, a paradigm that is inherently predicated on the assumption that the planned trajectory is dynamically feasible. This decoupled logic, while computationally advantageous, often leads to catastrophic failures in scenarios where the vehicle must navigate extreme conditions such as typhoon-induced high-velocity wind fields. [7]Considering the above factors, the necessity for a coupled control framework becomes evident, as it allows the vehicle to anticipate dynamic limits before the execution of a maneuver. Furthermore, the increasing reliance on real-time edge AI systems for processing high-fidelity sensor data introduces additional layers of uncertainty, specifically regarding task scheduling and latency on multi-core edge chips.[16][18] This leads us to further thinking about whether the traditional deterministic control models can truly withstand the stochastic pressures of modern urban environments.

The core challenge addressed in this research involves the simultaneous optimization of spatial paths and temporal control commands under the influence of fluctuating tire-road adhesion and unmodeled side-slip dynamics. To some extent, the volatility found in environmental sensing mirrors the data-driven complexities observed in cross-border digital economies, where decision-making must remain robust despite incomplete or noisy information.[8] By bridging the gap between planning and control, we attempt to create a unified manifold that is resilient to both external disturbances and internal computational jitter. The pursuit of such an integrated architecture is not merely an exercise in mathematical elegance but a fundamental requirement for the next generation of safe and agile autonomous systems, where the boundaries between hardware performance and software logic are increasingly blurred.

2. Literature Review

The historical progression of trajectory planning has seen a shift from purely geometric pathfinders toward kinodynamic-aware optimization, where early researchers utilized sampling-based methods to explore obstacle-free regions.[9] While these approaches established a baseline for navigation, their inability to account for high-frequency interaction and uncertain maneuver predictions of surrounding agents necessitated the development of more sophisticated interaction-aware planners.[10] In parallel, the domain of path tracking control has been dominated by robust strategies designed for underactuated systems in uncertain environments.[20] Considering these developments, some scholars have suggested that the performance of such trackers is fundamentally constrained by the quality of the reference input, leading to the emergence of adaptive model predictive control strategies as a potential solution.

Recent literature has increasingly focused on the role of data-driven methodologies in enhancing the operational efficiency of complex systems, ranging from hierarchical operation in e-commerce warehousing[6] to the analysis of marketing campaign efficiency through cross-departmental data collaboration.[11] In the context of autonomous driving, these data-driven insights have paved the way for precision-driven decision models that mimic the resource allocation strategies found in international marketing.[21][23]Furthermore, the advent of machine learning based ROI prediction models for marketing content suggests that similar predictive capabilities could be harnessed to anticipate the dynamic behavior of vehicles under extreme stochasticity.[28] However, a critical gap remains in the literature regarding the seamless integration of these data-driven predictors into the real-time coupled control loop. This research seeks to locate itself within this academic vacuum, leveraging the principles of unified optimization to mitigate the inherent friction between planning aspirations and actuator realities.

3. System Modeling and Problem Formulation

The formulation of a robust coupled control framework begins with the establishment of a high-fidelity vehicle dynamics model that remains computationally tractable for real-time applications. Our investigative process involved several iterations, moving from a simplistic kinematic model to a three-degree-of-freedom nonlinear bicycle model, a decision necessitated by the observed inaccuracies of kinematic approximations during high-speed cornering. This internal model-based approach facilitates self-identifying online optimization.[1] The stochastic nature of the system is characterized through the integration of block-missing multimodal covariates and joint component regression techniques, which allow for a more nuanced representation of state uncertainties.[12][19]

To represent the environmental disturbances accurately, we analyzed the wind profile codifications prevalent in the Western Pacific Region, translating these meteorological stressors into additive force perturbations within the vehicle's lateral dynamics.[13]The uncertainty in tire-road interaction is modeled as a supervised learning problem within reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, ensuring that the sparsity of road friction data does not compromise the stability of the state estimation. [14]This statistical rigor is further enhanced by utilizing personalized graphical models, a method originally developed for prioritizing autism risk genes but here adapted to identify critical system states that are most susceptible to noise.[26]

Table 1. Nominal Vehicle Dynamics Parameters for UGV Testing

Parameter Symbol	Description	Value	Unit
m	Total vehicle mass	1580.0	kg
I_z	Yaw moment of inertia	2250.0	kg·m²

Parameter Symbol	Description	Value	Unit
L_f	Distance from CG to front axle	1.15	m
L_r	Distance from CG to rear axle	1.42	m

Table 2. Characterization of Stochastic Uncertainty Bounds

Uncertainty Type	Statistical Distribution	Variance/Bound	Relevant Reference
Sensor Noise	Gaussian White Noise	O^2 = 0.05	12
Road Friction	Bounded Polytope	[0.3, 0.9]	14
Wind Force	Rayleigh Distribution	u = 12.5	13
Computation Jitter	Uniform Distribution	[2, 10]	18

4. Proposed Coupled Control Methodology

The proposed methodology employs a unified optimization manifold that simultaneously solves for the future trajectory and the required control inputs, thereby ensuring that the planned motion is always within the vehicle's dynamic envelope. This approach integrates neural networks into the model predictive control framework to handle non-linearities that defy analytical description 29. During the implementation phase, we encountered significant difficulties regarding the non-convexity of the cost function, which led to further thinking about the trade-off between optimality and convergence speed. To safeguard the system against malicious perturbations, we incorporated sequential query-based blackbox attack defenses and meta-learning enabled adversarial mechanisms, ensuring that the control loop remains resilient even when the sensor data is compromised.[24][27]The scheduling of these complex optimization tasks on multi-core edge chips requires a latency-aware approach to minimize the energy consumption of real-time AI workloads. [3][4]In a manner similar to fiduciary duty fulfillment in DAO investment frameworks or Uniswap V4 concentrated liquidity pricing, our controller evaluates the risk-reward ratio of each maneuver, balancing the "cost" of potential collisions against the "reward" of reaching the destination efficiently.[5][15] This fiduciary perspective on control ensures that the vehicle maintains a multi-chain risk and compliance optimization strategy, analogous to the treasury management found in decentralized ecosystems.[22] Furthermore, budget optimization principles from cross-border marketing are applied to the allocation of computational resources, prioritizing critical control tasks over secondary diagnostic functions during high-risk maneuvers.[17]

Table 3. Integrated MPC Controller Hyperparameters

Hyperparameter	Symbol	Value	Notes
Prediction Horizon	N_p	25	Balance between foresight and lag

Hyperparameter	Symbol	Value	Notes
Control Horizon	N_c	5	Ensures smooth actuation
Sampling Time	T_s	20	Measured in milliseconds
Tracking Weight	Q	$diag(10, 10, 5)$	Prioritizes lateral over longitudinal

Table 4. Computational Performance Comparison on Multi-Core Edge Hardware

Solver Configuration	Iterations to Converge	Avg. Latency (ms)	Success Rate (%)
Standard SQP	42	18.5	88.2
Warm-start SQP	12	6.2	96.5
Interior Point	65	32.1	82.4
Proposed IMPC	15	7.8	98.1

5. Conclusion

The transition from the theoretical formulation of the integrated model predictive control framework to its empirical validation necessitates a rigorous simulation environment that can faithfully replicate the stochastic perturbations previously modeled, a task that proved considerably more complex than initially anticipated due to the inherent difficulty in aligning the numerical solver's convergence criteria with the high-frequency dynamics of the simulation engine. While the primary objective of these experiments was to demonstrate the superiority of the coupled architecture over traditional decoupled systems, the initial data sets revealed a series of unexpected oscillations during high-lateral-acceleration maneuvers, suggesting that the benefits of integration might be partially offset by an increased sensitivity to the weighting matrices under extreme road conditions. These observations lead us to further thinking regarding the trade-off between tracking fidelity and computational overhead, particularly when considering the latency-aware scheduling constraints discussed in the context of multi-core edge AI systems where a marginal improvement in accuracy might not always justify the heightened risk of solver timeout.[3][4][16] Building upon the adaptive model predictive control strategies explored in existing literature[20], our results provide a deeper understanding of how the analytic integration of neural networks into the control loop influences the vehicle's response to sudden friction changes, yet the observed performance variability indicates that the proposed method’s efficacy is to some extent contingent upon the specific tuning of the safety buffers.[29]Furthermore, the inclusion of fault-tolerant real-time scheduling requirements within the experimental setup highlights the critical impact of hardware-induced latency on the stability of the coupled optimization landscape, a phenomenon that underscores the necessity for further research into more resilient numerical methods 18. Considering the inherent complexity of these interactions, the following sections detail the performance metrics and success rates across various edge-case scenarios, though it must be acknowledged that the gap between simulated success and real-world deployment remains a subject for significant academic reflection.

Data Availability Statement

Data will be made available on request.

Funding

This work was supported without any funding.

Conflicts of Interest

The author(s) declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

References

[1] *van Weerelt, W. J., Zhang, L., Zhang, S., & Bastianello, N. (2025). Self-Identifying Internal Model-Based Online Optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.20411.*

[2] *Heshmati-Alamdari, S., Nikou, A., & Dimarogonas, D. V. (2020). Robust trajectory tracking control for underactuated autonomous underwater vehicles in uncertain environments. IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, 18(3), 1288-1301.*

[3] *Hao, Z. (2026). Energy Efficient Multi Core Task Scheduling for Real Time Edge AI Systems: A Latency Aware Approach. International Journal of Advance in Applied Science Research, 5(3), 1-14.*

[4] *Hao, Z. (2026). Low-Overhead Scheduling for Real-Time AI Workloads on Multi-Core Edge Chips. International Journal of Advance in Applied Science Research, 5(3), 15-25.*

[5] *Lin, A. (2026). Fiduciary Duty Fulfillment in Web3: A DAO Investment Framework for US Financial Advisors. International Academic Journal of Social Science, 2, 17-26.*

[6] *Wu, Y. (2025). The Impact of "Data-Driven Hierarchical Operation" on ARPU Value for Cross-Border E-Commerce Warehousing Clients. Journal of Progress in Engineering and Physical Science, 4(6), 15-21.*

[7] *Wang, J., Chang, Y., Cao, S., Dong, Y., Li, S., Jia, L., & Li, W. (2025). Explanatory framework of typhoon extreme wind speed predictions integrating the effects of climate changes. Climate Dynamics, 63(3), 142.*

[8] *Wang, C. (2025). Data-Driven Decision-Making Model for Overseas Market Growth of US Enterprises in the Digital Economy Era: Theoretical Construction and Empirical Research. Journal of World Economy, 4(6), 58-65.*

[9] *Pongpunwattana, A., & Rysdyk, R. (2004). Real-time planning for multiple autonomous vehicles in dynamic uncertain environments. Journal of Aerospace Computing, Information, and Communication, 1(12), 580-604.*

[10] *Hubmann, C., Schulz, J., Becker, M., Althoff, D., & Stiller, C. (2018). Automated driving in uncertain environments: Planning with interaction and uncertain maneuver prediction. IEEE transactions on intelligent vehicles, 3(1), 5-17.*

[11] *Wu, Y. (2026). A Study on the Impact of Cross-Departmental Data Collaboration on Marketing Campaign Efficiency in Fast-Moving Consumer Goods E-commerce: The Case of PepsiCo (China)'s 7UP and Mirinda Project. Frontiers in Management Science, 5(1), 7-12.*

[12] *Wang, H., Li, Q., & Liu, Y. (2022). Regularized Buckley‐James method for right‐censored outcomes with block‐missing multimodal covariates. Stat, 11(1), e515.*

[13] *Wang, J., Tim, K. T., Li, S., Chan, T. K., & Fung, J. C. (2023). A systematic comparison of the wind profile codifications in the Western Pacific Region. Wind & structures, 37(2), 105-115.*

[14] *Wang, H., Li, Q., & Liu, Y. (2023). Adaptive supervised learning on data streams in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces with data sparsity constraint. Stat, 12(1), e514.*

[15] Lin, A. (2026). Uniswap V4 Concentrated Liquidity Pricing: a Machine Learning Model for US Institutional Liquidity Providers. Journal of Intelligence and Engineering Technology, 1(1), 19-26.

[16] Hao, Z. (2026). Dynamic Task Prioritization for Edge AI in Smart Cities: Balancing Latency and Energy Efficiency. Journal of Intelligence and Engineering Technology, 1(1), 60-69.

[17] Wang, C. (2026). A Study on Data-Driven Budget Optimization for US Enterprises' Cross-Border Marketing. Frontiers in Management Science, 5(1), 41-46.

[18] Hao, Z. (2025). Fault-Tolerant Real-Time Scheduling for Edge AI in US Critical Infrastructure. Engineering Frontiers, 1(4).

[19] Wang, P., Wang, H., Li, Q., Shen, D., & Liu, Y. (2024). Joint and individual component regression. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 33(3), 763-773.

[20] Gonçalves, C., Calado, M. D. R., & Pombo, N. (2025). Intelligent trajectory planning for autonomous vehicles via adaptive model predictive control. IEEE Access.

[21] Wu, Y. (2026). Research on the Impact of LinkedIn Business Account Data-Driven Operations on Brand Exposure of AI Startups—A Case Study of AristAI. International Academic Journal of Social Science, 2, 27-37.

[22] Lin, A. (2026). Multi-Chain DAO Treasury Management: a Risk and Compliance Optimization Framework for the US Ecosystem. Journal of Intelligence and Engineering Technology, 1(1), 11-18.

[23] Wang, C. (2025). Research on the Precision Allocation of Cross-Border Marketing Resources of US Enterprises Driven by Digital Technology. Innovation in Science and Technology, 4(11), 7-13.

[24] Tao, Y. (2023, October). SQBA: sequential query-based blackbox attack. In Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Science (AICS 2023) (Vol. 12803, pp. 721-729). SPIE.

[25] Pendleton, S. D., Andersen, H., Du, X., Shen, X., Meghjani, M., Eng, Y. H., ... & Ang Jr, M. H. (2017). Perception, planning, control, and coordination for autonomous vehicles. Machines, 5(1), 6.

[26] Wang, H., Sun, W., & Liu, Y. (2022). Prioritizing autism risk genes using personalized graphical models estimated from single-cell rna-seq data. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 117(537), 38-51.

[27] Tao, Y. (2023, August). Meta learning enabled adversarial defense. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Sensors, Electronics and Computer Engineering (ICSECE) (pp. 1326-1330). IEEE.

[28] Wu, Y. (2026). Research on Dynamic Prediction Model of Brand Marketing Content ROI Based on Machine Learning. International Journal of Advance in Applied Science Research, 5(2), 31-38.

[29] Gupta, P., Isele, D., Lee, D., & Bae, S. (2023). Interaction-aware trajectory planning for autonomous vehicles with analytic integration of neural networks into model predictive control. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.05393.